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Eye is a quintessential small Suffolk market town. It has an interesting well-recorded history, a comparable number of listed buildings to Lavenham and is adored by residents and visitors alike. Public consultation undertaken in the preparation of this Neighbourhood Plan has told us what people like about Eye: its historic core, the ability to move freely around the Town, the mixture of shops and a large number of community organisations that reflects a strong community spirit. The excellent Parish Plan published in 2009 surveyed the things people like about Eye and summarises these as follows

“respondents view Eye as a charming quiet Town with a good selection of shops, where people are helpful and friendly, the facilities are good and there is a strong sense of community spirit”.

The consultation meetings and conversations around the Town tell us that nobody wants to see this “charming lovely town” and its buildings spoilt by over-development. Eye has already experienced substantial development and the strain this has placed on the Town and its infrastructure is evident in the volume of traffic, difficulties in parking, full doctors’ lists and schools at capacity.

There is, though, some understanding in Eye that future development is inevitable and, in some respects, necessary to ensure Eye has a sustainable future. The Housing Needs Assessment undertaken in the preparation of this Neighbourhood Plan shows the level and type of housing needed to meet local needs in respect of social, affordable and sheltered housing. The Neighbourhood Plan recognises the obligation to provide for the needs of neighbouring villages and an allowance has been made for this. The accepted reality is that the housing required to meet these local needs will only be paid for by a heavy proportion of market housing. The overriding reality (and less easy to accept) is that the level of new housing Eye will be required to deliver will be determined by Government policy and not just local need.

The purpose in developing a Neighbourhood Plan for Eye is to maximise the local say in how much development there should be, where it should be and what infrastructure is needed to support it. The challenge is to do this in a way that least damages and, where there is an opportunity, enhances the Town. The planning authorities have not provided a vision for the future of Eye and planning decisions have not been taken on the basis of strategies and policies.
The Neighbourhood Plan (2018-2036) starts to build a vision based on what makes Eye a successful place, what people value and the needs they have. Local consultation has defined these statements about the Town people want to see in the future:

- **An attractive town**: using the historic core to attract visitors and setting high standards to ensure new development is in keeping with the existing.

- **A walkable town**: development concentrated within walking distance of facilities, with great cycling facilities too – cutting congestion and improving the air we breathe.

- **A connected town**: linking up the whole town, including old and new, and housing, employment and services.

- **An enterprising town**: focused on small businesses in the town centre and larger firms, especially those specializing in innovative clean technology and food production, on the former Airfield.

- **A green town**: integrated into its countryside and with community projects to encourage green energy and conservation.

- **A living town**: growing in size to cope with new needs through new development providing sufficient low cost homes.

- **An evolving town**: changing gradually to meet new needs, locally and regionally, but with planned change when things need to alter.

These statements have guided the development of this Neighbourhood Plan which is submitted to Mid Suffolk District Council in April 2019. It has been amended to take into account the comments received during the consultation on the Pre Submission Draft of the Plan in November and December 2018 which gave strong community support to its policies and proposals. The Plan will now be publicised for Submission draft consultation by the District Council prior to being subject to an Independent Examination. Details of when and how to comment will be published by the District Council.

**Peter Gould**

Chair

Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group
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SECTION 1
Introduction
1.1 This is the [Regulation 15] Submission draft of the Eye Neighbourhood Plan (2018-2036). It has been submitted to Mid Suffolk District Council which will publish the Submission draft version of the Plan for further consultation prior to it undergoing Independent Examination by a Qualified Person. This is expected to take place in the early summer of 2019. The Independent Examiner will check the Plan to ensure that it meets the Basic Conditions. This may require further modifications to be made to the Plan. The Independent Examiner will also determine whether the Plan (as modified) can proceed to a local referendum. The Plan will be ‘made’ (adopted by Mid Suffolk District Council) if more than 50% of those people who vote at the referendum do so in support of the Plan. It will then form part of the District Council’s Development Plan against which planning applications will be determined unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

1.2 Neighbourhood planning gives communities direct power to develop a shared vision for their neighbourhood and shape the development and growth of their local area over the next 10-20 years. They can choose where they want new homes, shops and offices to be built, have their say on what those new buildings should look like and what infrastructure should be provided. The Neighbourhood Plan sits alongside the Local Plan, prepared by the local planning authority (Mid Suffolk District Council), with decisions on planning applications being made using both, along with any other material considerations. It covers the period from 2018 to 2036.

1.3 To help deliver their vision, communities that take a proactive approach by drawing up a neighbourhood plan, and secure the consent of local people in a referendum, will benefit from 25% of the revenues from the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) arising from the development that takes place in their area (currently only 15% capped at £100 per dwelling is available to the Town). It is important that communities carefully consider their future needs and that these are based on evidence so that they can make informed choices about priorities.
1.4 It is a key function of a neighbourhood plan to set out those priorities after consultation with local people. This plan is based on evidence, including local opinion, and also contains a list of priorities for using funding from development for infrastructure improvements.

1.5 If successful at examination and referendum, the Neighbourhood Plan comes into force as part of the statutory development plan forming part of the statutory planning framework for the area and the policies and proposals contained within it will be used as a basis for the determination of planning applications, alongside the District Local Plan and other material considerations.

1.6 A neighbourhood plan should:

• support the strategic development needs set out in the District Local Plan;
• plan positively to support local development;
• be used to address the development and use of land;
• set out a vision for an area and propose planning policies for the use and development of land.

1.7 A neighbourhood plan must meet a number of ‘Basic Conditions’ if it is to pass examination:

• it must have regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State;
• it must contribute towards the achievement of sustainable development;
• the policies must be in general conformity with the strategic policies in the Local Plan;
• it must not breach and should otherwise be compatible with EU obligations.

1.8 The Eye Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared with regard to the National Planning Policy Framework as well as guidance set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG). The policies in the Plan are in general conformity with the strategic policies in Mid Suffolk District’s current Development Plan. The Plan has been prepared to help to achieve sustainable development. It will run from 2018 until 2036, to coincide with the end date of the new joint Babergh and Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan.
NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

1.9 The National Planning Policy Framework was revised in July 2018. The presumption in favour of sustainable development is retained. There are some changes of emphasis:

- increased focus on design - high quality and design in line with what local people want to ensure new homes fit with their surroundings;
- the need to maximise the use of land;
- viability and infrastructure assessments should be undertaken at the plan making stage rather than when planning applications are submitted and applications should be assumed to be viable if they conform to the policy requirements;
- 10% of housing requirements should be found on small to medium sites up to 1 hectare;
- planning policies should clarify ‘the range of uses permitted in shopping centres, as part of a positive strategy for the future of each centre’;
- there is more emphasis on biodiversity; and
- there is a revised housing delivery test to ensure that enough housing land is available for development.

LOCAL PLAN

1.10 Until it is superseded by the Babergh & Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan, the Development Plan comprises the saved policies of the Mid Suffolk District Local Plan (1998), the First Alteration to the Mid Suffolk Local Plan (2006), the Mid Suffolk District Core Strategy Development Plan Document (2008), and the Mid Suffolk District Core Strategy Focused Review (2012).

1.11 The Core Strategy identifies Eye as one of three Towns where growth will be allocated and (policy FC2) proposes that 230 new dwellings should be built between 2012 and 2027 – to be phased over the Core Strategy plan period on previously developed land (PDL) or greenfield land (GF) as set out in Table 1 below. It also recommends that greenfield development should be to the north west of the Town towards the Airfield.

Table 1 – Core Strategy Phasing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEARS 0 - 5</th>
<th>YEARS 5 -10</th>
<th>YEARS 10 - 15</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDL</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>PDL</td>
<td>GF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.12 However, given the 7 year gap since the Core Strategy Focused Review was approved significant weight has been given in preparing this Neighbourhood Plan to the emerging Joint Local Plan and the Consultation Document published in August 2017. In this the District Council provides some views that need to be taken into account in the Neighbourhood Plan including:

- population growth of 19% is projected up to 2036 with more people in older age groups;
- an additional 5,820 dwellings are required in the district by 2036 over and above commitments (existing permissions) at a rate of 425 dwellings per year;
- there is enough employment land to meet expected requirements but not necessarily in the right place or of the right type;
- there is a need for sheltered and specialist housing for older people;
- a new settlement hierarchy is proposed based upon the number of services and facilities. Eye is identified in the ‘Urban areas and Market Towns’ category with Hadleigh, Needham Market, Pinewood, Stowmarket and Sudbury;
- Eye has been identified as the centre of a functional cluster with Braiseworth, Brome and Oakley, Denham, Hoxne, Horham, Mellis, Occold, Redlingfield, Stoke Ash, Thornham Magna, Thornham Parva and Yaxley;
- a range of options for the spatial distribution of development are identified which might result in different levels of housing requirements for Eye;
- densities should average 30 dwellings per hectare but could be up to 40 dwellings per hectare in sustainable locations such as Eye;
- a proposal to retain the Eye Principal Shopping Area which will be called the Eye District Centre; and,
- a commitment to providing the infrastructure required to support allocated development – ‘planning permission should only be granted if it can be demonstrated that there is or will be sufficient infrastructure capacity’.

1.13 The Consultation Document contains a settlement map for Eye which identifies three sites that have been assessed for development potential:

a. land north of Castleton Way and South of the Airfield Industrial Area – this comprises 48 hectares of land including the 28 hectares site which already has planning permission for 280 houses. The land availability assessment indicates that this area is affected by the HSE safety area (around the proposed gas power station) and development of the whole site (2000 dwellings) would be disproportionate to the scale of the town. It indicates a capacity of 320 houses – 40 more than already have permission.
b. land to the East of Century Road, off Victoria Hill – this site of 5.7 hectares could accommodate about 240 dwellings and is within a Special Landscape Area designation. It is identified as an option not a proposal and has been assessed and rejected during the preparation of this Plan.

c. Paddock House - redevelopment of the former Care Home.

PLANNING FRAMEWORK FOR EYE AIRFIELD BUSINESS AREA

1.14 Mid Suffolk’s planning framework for the Eye Airfield area comprises:
• The ‘Eye Airfield Development Framework (and Appendices) (Feb 2013)
• The ‘Eye Airfield [Planning] Position Statement (Nov 2013)

1.15 The Framework (Feb 2013) states:

‘The proposed scale and framework for development at Eye Airfield provides excellent opportunities for reuse and regeneration of previously used land and industrial buildings located at the heart of Suffolk. The site has strong agricultural and industrial uses, combined with good access routes such as the A140 from Norwich, and close proximity to local amenities. The site would benefit from a mix of uses.

Appropriate uses here are: IT centres, data centres, R&D, green products, high value engineering manufacture, financial, insurance and also other business park uses for smaller companies. This Development Framework seeks proposals to reinforce the site’s identity, whilst increasing the amenity linkages with the adjacent sites.’

1.16 The Planning Policy Position Statement (November 2013) states:

‘The Airfield is a key strategic site for economic growth in the north of the district and to meet the employment needs of local people. The site lacks a structured, coherent plan so that in the past the airfield has developed in a piecemeal fashion with seven uncoordinated sites each with a separate access developed according to the pattern of landownerships. This pattern of development is unlikely to meet the future needs of the district or its businesses and communities.

This allocation of land in a new style Local Plan will raise the status of the site in the Suffolk Growth Strategy and Delivery Plan, which in turn will open opportunities for new funding streams for development of the site. This will support a more coherent approach to future development that is more likely to achieve the Council’s strategic aims for the site. Further piecemeal extension of the airfield is likely to be detrimental to achieving the strategic aims for this site and will be resisted.’
1.17 Eye Town Council applied for the designation of a Neighbourhood Plan area in 2013. This District Council did not make a decision on this application which the Town Council considers is unlawful. The lack of a decision removed the ability of the Town to shape its own future in the period between 2013 and 2019 which has meant that planning decisions have been and could still be made that are not supported by the Town. It also means that the Town does not benefit from the 25% of Community Infrastructure Levy that it could use to mitigate the impacts of development and make improvements to services and facilities.

1.18 A second application was made in September 2017 and the Eye Neighbourhood Plan area was designated by Mid Suffolk District Council in on 18th October 2017 (on exactly the same boundary as the 2013 application). The Plan area is the parish of Eye (Figure 1).
1.19 The Town Council established a Steering Group comprising Councillors and non-Councillors in October 2017. It has met monthly to oversee and guide the preparation of the Plan. Presentations have been made to the Town Council at key stages and the Council has approved this Submission Draft of the Plan.

1.20 The Steering Group decided that it wished to engage local people in developing a vision for the future of Eye before consulting on detailed and specific proposals and policies. It engaged AECOM through Government Technical Support to assist in developing a set of statements, which it used along with a range of questions on key issues, to engage the public in an initial consultation stage.

1.21 The feedback from this consultation was used to develop an opportunities plan which identified key land use and other proposals. A second interim consultation stage was undertaken to validate the plan and proposals. The feedback at this stage was taken into account in developing a Pre-Submission draft Plan which was subject to public consultation between 8th November and 20th December 2018.

1.22 In addition to support for the vision AECOM was also engaged through Government Technical Support to undertake an assessment of the suitability of sites for development, an assessment of the viability of sites for development (whether development would be profitable enough for the market to bring them forward) and an assessment of the number and type of houses required to meet local needs. AECOM was also commissioned directly to undertake a housing needs survey.

1.23 Throughout the process the Steering Group has collated a range of background evidence to help inform its proposals. These are available at www.eyesuffolk.org/town-council/neighbourhood-plan.

1.24 The Steering Group has taken full account of all the responses made to the Plan during the Pre Submission consultation period. These have been summarised in a schedule by paragraph and policy and an indication given of whether they are noted, accepted or not accepted. Where they have been accepted an indication is given of the changes to be made to this Submission Plan. The schedule is Supporting Document 20 and can be found at www.eyesuffolk.org/town-council/neighbourhood-plan. It is also referred to in the Statement of Consultation (Supporting Document 21) that is submitted with this Plan.
1.25 Also submitted with the Plan are:

a. A statement of Basic Conditions which explains how the Plan meets the requirements set by the National Planning Policy Framework and referred to in paragraph 1.7 above. This is Supporting Document 22.

b. A Habitats Regulation Act Determination (December 2018) – Supporting Document 23 – which finds no requirement for a full habitats assessment

c. A Strategic Environmental Assessment Determination – Supporting Document 24 – which finds there is a need for a full strategic environmental assessment.

d. The Strategic Environmental Assessment – Supporting Document 25.

These documents can also be found on the eyesuffolk.org website.
SECTION 2
Evidence
2.1 The part of Eye that each policy in the Plan relates to is shown on the Policies Map. The constraints affecting planning decisions in and around the Town are identified on Supporting Document 2 – Constraints Map. A range of background evidence has been brought together in Supporting Document 3 - Background Evidence. This and all other evidence used for the Plan is available on the Eye Town website www.eyesuffolk.org.

2.2 There have been two stages of consultation as part of developing the Plan: in addition there has been consultation regarding the proposals for 280 dwellings South of Eye Airfield in 2015, the District Council’s Joint Local Plan Consultation Document in October 2017 and the Housing Needs Survey in May 2018 contained an open question for general comments. These sources have been brought together in Supporting Document 4 - Outcomes of Consultation and Engagement.

2.3 Supporting Document 5 - Site Assessment contains an examination of the suitability of various sites considered during the preparation of the Plan for development taking into account national and district level planning policies and known constraints.

2.4 The results of a survey of current housing and future needs is reported in Supporting Document 6 - Housing Needs Survey.

2.5 This survey contributed to a wider examination of housing needs in Supporting Document 7 - Housing Needs Assessment which takes into account a wide range of data in estimating the numbers of homes required by tenure, type and size.

2.6 In order to identify if sites are commercially viable for development Supporting Document 8 - Housing Viability Assessment examines building and land costs and assesses whether the housing sites considered for inclusion in the Plan are commercially viable for development and the level of affordable housing they could support.

2.7 It is important that development is supported by improvements to infrastructure for the existing and new population. Supporting Document 9 - Infrastructure Requirements identifies the requirements that have been identified so far.

2.8 This takes into account the outcomes of a survey of existing community facilities set out in Supporting Document 10 - Survey of Community Facilities.

2.9 One of the sites being considered for development is currently used as Allotments. Supporting Document 11 - Allotments Assessment brings together all the relevant information about allotments.
2.10 A survey of local green spaces has been undertaken including an assessment of Visually Important Open Space and the results of this work is contained in Supporting Document 12 - Green Spaces Assessment.

2.11 Supporting Document 13 - Historic Environment describes the Conservation Area and listed buildings in Eye.

2.12 The availability of public car parking is an issue in Eye and Supporting Document 14 - Car Parking sets out a strategy for their use and management. Eye wants to promote the use of electric vehicles and Supporting Document 15 - Electric Vehicle Charging sets out a strategy for this.

2.13 The archaeological assessment of the land at Victoria Mill is Supporting Document 16 - Archaeological Assessment of Land at Victoria Mill.

2.14 Supporting Document 17 - Landscape and Visual Assessment describes views into and out of the Town and key landscaping areas that are important to retain its rural character.

2.15 Maps of Flood Risk are contained in Supporting Document 18.

2.16 Guidance on design in general and for the housing sites proposed in this Plan are set out in Supporting Document 19 – Design Codes.

2.17 The schedule of responses to the Pre Submission draft of the Neighbourhood Plan is Supporting Document 20 and the Statement of Consultation, setting out the measures taken during the Plan making process to involve the public and other stakeholders is Supporting Document 21.

2.18 A Statement of Basic Conditions is required to be submitted with the Submission draft of the Plan. This is Supporting Document 22.

2.19 The Pre Submission Draft of the Plan had to be screened to determine if a full assessment of the impact of its proposals on habitats and the environment are required. Habitats Regulation Act Determination (December 2018) is Supporting Document 23 and the Strategic Environmental Assessment Determination is Supporting Document 24. A Strategic Environmental Assessment was required and this is Supporting Document 25.

2.20 The origins and extent of the Special Landscape Area are detailed in Supporting Document 26 – Special Landscape Area. Evidence for the designation of land as Visually Important Open Space is in Supporting Document 27 – Visually Important Open Space (VIOS).
SECTION 3
A Vision for Eye
- Development Strategy
and Principles
3.1 The following vision statements have been prepared and tested through public consultation to help guide the preparation of the Plan.

- **An attractive town**: using the historic core to attract visitors and setting high standards to ensure new development is in keeping with the existing.

- **A walkable town**: development concentrated within walking distance of facilities, with great cycling facilities too – cutting congestion and improving the air we breathe.

- **A connected town**: linking up the whole town, including old and new, and housing, employment and services.

- **An enterprising town**: focused on small businesses in the town centre and larger firms, especially those specializing in innovative clean technology and food production, on the former Airfield.

- **A green town**: integrated into its countryside and with community projects to encourage green energy and conservation.

- **A living town**: growing in size to cope with new needs through new development providing sufficient low cost homes.

- **An evolving town**: changing gradually to meet new needs, locally and regionally, but with planned change when things need to alter.
3.2 Table 2 below identifies which policies contribute to achieving each vision statement.

### Table 2 – Assessment of Policies Against Vision Statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Attractive</th>
<th>Walkable</th>
<th>Connected</th>
<th>Enterprising</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Living</th>
<th>Evolving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eye 1 Housing Allocations</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 2 Form of Affordable Housing Provision</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 3 Land South of Eye Airfield</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 4 Land at Eye Health Centre and Hartismere Health and Care</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 5 Paddock House, Church Street</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 6 Land North of Victoria Mill Allotments</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 7 Victoria Mill Allotments</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 8 Reserve Site South of Eye Airfield, Phase 2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 9 Redevelopment of the Chicken Factory</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 10 Public Car Parking at The Rettery</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye</td>
<td>Attractive</td>
<td>Walkable</td>
<td>Connected</td>
<td>Enterprising</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Evolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle Parking in Public Car Parks</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crematorium, Land West of Eye Cemetery, Yaxley Road</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land for Primary School, West of Hartismere High School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Hall and related uses at Hartismere High School</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development outside the Settlement Boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 16</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development within the Settlement Boundary</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 17</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Landscape Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing Change in the Landscape</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 19</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually Important Open Spaces</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Green Spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity Networks</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye District Centre</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 23</td>
<td>Attractive</td>
<td>Walkable</td>
<td>Connected</td>
<td>Enterprising</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Living</td>
<td>Evolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses Appropriate to the District Shopping Centre</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop Front Design</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 25</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining Traditional Shop Fronts</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of Public Car Parking Spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 27</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 28</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Rights of Way East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 29</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement of Public Rights of Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 30</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging in Residential Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 31</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging in New Public Car Parking Spaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 32</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Management Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 33</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye Business Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 34</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 4
Housing Proposals
PUBLIC VIEWS

4.1 In the various consultations (Supporting Documents 4, 20, 21) there has been a consistent view that some housing is necessary in Eye but that this should be:

a. directed primarily at meeting the needs of young people for affordable housing and the needs of older people for smaller accommodation;

b. in the form of smaller developments preferably within the Town and not in the form of major expansions onto greenfield sites; and

c. backed up with improvements to infrastructure.

HOUSING NEEDS

4.2 A Housing Needs Survey was undertaken to understand the housing needs of the Eye population (Supporting Document 6). This found that:

- some people had left or would leave Eye because they could not or would not be able to access suitable accommodation; and

- some of these people, mainly younger individuals or households, needed accommodation at less than market value, while others mainly older people or households, wanted smaller accommodation.

4.3 The Housing Needs Survey was taken into account in the Housing Needs Assessment (Supporting Document 7) which also examined a wide range of information to assess the needs of the Eye population regarding the number, tenure, size and type of housing up to 2036.

4.4 Regarding the amount of housing needed to meet the housing needs of Eye up to 2036 the Housing Needs Assessment estimates that 164 dwellings will be required in total.

4.5 About 80 of these dwellings will be required for newly forming households i.e. for young households under 35. These households will require assistance to rent or buy a home due to income and current occupancy trends. The Housing Needs Assessment estimates that only 5% will be able to buy a home at market value, a further 45% will be able to buy a small home or a home through Shared Ownership, 20% will need a social rented home (where rents are less than 80% of market rates) or affordable rented home (at 80% of market rates) and 30% will need to rent privately.

4.5 This means that, within the overall housing requirement of 164 dwellings, there is a need for about 76 homes for newly forming households during the plan period which are available at less than market value - 36 shared ownership homes to buy, 16 homes at (less than 80% of market rent) social rents and 24 homes at (80% of market rent) affordable rent.
4.6 It is Mid Suffolk District Council policy that affordable housing should be provided in groups of no more than 15 dwellings.

4.7 The Housing Needs Assessment also looked into the housing mix (type and size) needed to meet current and future needs using current demographic data and household projections. To satisfy the requirements of increasingly smaller and older households, but also to enable younger households to remain in the area, 50% of new homes should have fewer than three bedrooms, and flats, bungalows and three-bedroom family homes are appropriate to meet future needs. The Housing Needs Survey suggested a 48% preference for houses, 29% for bungalows, 13.8% for flats and 9% for sheltered housing.

4.8 To meet current and future housing needs the Housing Needs Assessment has indicated that 22% of new dwellings should be 1 bedroom, 31% should be 2 bedrooms, 41% should be 3 bedrooms and 5% should be 4 or more bedrooms.

4.9 Finally, the Housing Needs Assessment provides an estimate of the homes needed for the older population of the Town over the Plan period up to 2036. It estimates there will be an additional 258 residents aged 75+ during the Plan period and a need for 65 additional specialist dwellings made up as follows (each number has been rounded):

- additional conventional sheltered housing units = 15
- additional leasehold sheltered housing units = 31
- additional 'enhanced' sheltered units, split 50:50 between those for rent and those for sale = 5
- additional extra care housing units for rent = 4
- additional extra care housing units for sale = 8
- additional specialist dementia care homes = 2

4.10 The Housing Needs Assessment notes that there is no obligation for these all to be provided within the Plan area itself and clearly in some cases, such as providing a single specialist dementia care dwelling, it would not be economically feasible to do so. As such, these 65 specialist dwellings need not be thought of as all needing to be provided within the neighbourhood plan housing target - rather, there will be some overlap between these dwellings and the target, depending on the number that could be provided within the Plan area itself.

4.11 However the Assessment looks at the needs of the population of Eye. Eye is identified in the Local Plan as the centre of a functional cluster of surrounding villages and hamlets. Eye will need to provide for the needs of the populations of these places in its plan. There is no information to quantify this need so this Plan over-provides for housing needs for newly forming households and older people by about 10% - so about 90 homes for newly forming households (with a 10% uplift to the various sub-categories) about 70 sheltered units for older people (excluding specialist dementia homes).
4.12 The view of the people of Eye and assessment of housing needs are major considerations in deciding the approach to housing allocations. There are three other factors that need to be taken into account:

a. the policy context set by the District Council’s Local Plan. The current plan is out of date but both it and the emerging new Local Plan, indicate that Eye is a Market Town and the centre of a functional cluster of settlements that should accommodate more than its own housing needs. There is no guidance at the moment on the number of houses that this would require as the strategy for the distribution of housing needs (e.g. spread across Market Towns or concentrated along the A14 corridor) has yet to be determined by the District Council;

b. outline planning permission has already been granted on land South of Eye Airfield/North of Castleton Way for 280 dwellings and a 60 place residential home. While this would seem to meet the housing needs of Eye and more at the current time and subject to a further application for reserved matters permission, it only provides for 56 affordable dwellings (to be a mix of 80% of rental value or Discounted Market units restricted to 20% less than Market Value in perpetuity). This will not provide for all of the affordable housing needs or any of the sheltered housing needs.

c. the development of some sites is preferred for other reasons such as replacing undesirable uses or providing other facilities such as car parking.

4.13 Therefore, the site allocations in this Plan are not based on a target number of homes, but are intended to meet specific housing needs and/or other objectives.

POLICY EYE 1 - HOUSING ALLOCATIONS

This Plan provides for around 579 homes to be developed in the Neighbourhood Plan area between 2018 and 2036. This growth will be met through:

a. The allocation of the following sites for 10 or more new homes as indicated on the Policies Map;
   i. Land South of eye Airfield – around 280 homes
   ii. Eye Health Centre and Hartismere Health and Care – around 43 homes
   iii. Chicken Factory, Yaxley Road – around 78 homes
   iv. Paddock House, Church Street – around 12 homes
   v. Land north of Victoria Mill Allotments – around 34 homes
b. An allowance of 60 homes on small ‘windfall’ sites of less than 10 homes that come forward within the settlement boundary during the Plan period and are not identified elsewhere within the Plan. In addition a reserve site is identified for around 174 dwellings south of Eye Airfield (north of site a i. above).

**HOUSING TENURES AND TYPES**

4.14 Taken together the residential development sites proposed in this Plan should provide for 90 affordable homes and 70 sheltered homes. There should be at least 40 small homes to buy through shared ownership, 18 homes at (less than 80% of market) social rents and 27 homes at (80% of market rent) affordable rent. It is important that affordable housing is integrated with market housing.

**POLICY EYE 2 - FORM OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROVISION**

Affordable housing should be provided in groups of 15 homes or fewer and be integrated within development schemes.

4.15 Across the various sites for all forms of residential development:

a. 22% of new homes should be 1 bedroom, 31% 2 bedrooms, 41% 3 bedrooms and 5% 4 or more bedrooms;

b. 48% should be houses, 29% bungalows and 14% flats.

**SITES PROPOSED FOR HOUSING DEVELOPMENT**

**LAND SOUTH OF EYE AIRFIELD**

4.16 Land South of Eye Airfield was granted outline permission for 280 dwellings and a 60 place care home in 2016. The Section 106 agreement requires 56 of the 280 dwellings to be provided in the form of affordable rented homes at 80% of market value and discounted for sale at 80% of market value in perpetuity. Financial contributions are to be provided for Early Years, Primary, High School, Health and Transport facilities. There is also provision required for improvements to sporting facilities but it is disappointing that no provision is made for improvements to other community facilities [See Supporting Document 9 and Supporting Document 10].
4.17 The site has been split into 15 dwellings and a care home accessed from Langton Grove and a 265 dwellings site accessed from Castleton Way. The developer of the 265 dwellings site is now expected to submit a reserved matters application and a consultation on these details for phase 1 of the development was held on 29th October 2018. Phase 1 will comprise up to 120 dwellings including 20% affordable dwellings for rent and shared ownership. Phase 1 is likely to be started in 2019 and completed around 2021/22. Phase 2 is likely to start in 2021 and be completed in 2023/24 providing a continual supply of market and affordable housing throughout the early part of the Neighbourhood Plan period and meeting the housing requirement of the current Local Plan.

4.18 The outline permission was granted on the basis that the development should accord with the Design Brief for the site prepared following substantial consultation with local people – see Figure 2 Indicative Masterplan Land South of Eye Airfield.

Figure 2 – Indicative Masterplan Land South of Eye Airfield
(Produced with the permission of Pegasus Planning)
LAND AT EYE HEALTH CENTRE AND HARTISMERE HEALTH AND CARE

4.19 Health commissioners are currently developing a strategy for the future delivery of health services in this area. This may result in parts of the Health Centre/Health and Care site (see figure 3) being declared as surplus to the operational healthcare requirements of the NHS, and would therefore be available for alternative use.

4.20 The site of 0.74 hectares is set within an area of sheltered housing, care homes, residential properties and health services. Pending confirmation of operational healthcare requirements, any part of the site declared as surplus to the operational healthcare requirements of the NHS will be considered suitable for residential use. The site lies within groundwater source zones 1, 2 and 3 and groundwater is therefore vulnerable to contamination in this location, requiring investigations before planning permission is granted. Archaeological investigation will also be required.
POLICY EYE 4 - LAND AT EYE HEALTH CENTRE AND HARTISMERE HEALTH AND CARE

Land at Eye Health Centre/Hartismere Health and Care (0.74 hectares) is proposed for housing. Approximately 0.4 hectares is proposed for market housing providing about 14 dwellings at 35 dwellings per hectare and the remaining 0.34 hectares is proposed for sheltered housing at 85 units per hectare providing about 29 units.

Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 30.

Before Planning Permission is granted:

a. site investigations will be required to determine ground water contamination risk;

b. archaeological investigations will be required.

LAND AT A1 CHICKEN FACTORY, YAXLEY ROAD

4.21 During consultation local people have expressed a strong preference for the chicken factory on Magdalen Street to be redeveloped. A mix of residential, food retail and car parking uses are proposed for the site which provides an opportunity for a modern food outlet and improved car parking to be provided close to the Town Centre, encouraging shoppers to use existing shops, cafes and other facilities.

4.22 The residential part of the site could provide about 79 market homes on an area of 1.89 hectares at 35 homes per hectare. Policy 9 in Section 5 of the Plan sets out the proposals for housing and other uses on the site.
4.23 The site of a now disused care home has been purchased by the District Council for Housing (see Figure 4). About 75% of local people support the retention of the open space fronting Church Street and this has been identified as a Local Green Space (see Policy Eye 20). This means that the site area is reduced from 0.33 hectares to 0.28 hectares.

4.24 The District Council purchased the site from the County Council in early 2017. The District Council intended that the site should be developed for affordable homes, without any consultation with local people about its use. It is an important site in the townscape and while there is potential for some affordable homes the development of market housing is more likely to produce a design that complements the setting.

4.25 The site is on an important pedestrian through-route to and from the Primary School. The development of the site should support safe walking and cycling with a route through the site and appropriate surface treatment of the access into and along Wellington Road.
POLICY EYE 5 - PADDOCK HOUSE, CHURCH STREET, EYE

Paddock House is proposed for housing. An area of 0.05 hectares of green space should be retained on the frontage to Church Street as part of the scheme. Of the remaining 0.28 hectares, 0.2 is proposed for market housing providing 7 homes at 35 dwellings per hectare and the remaining 0.08 hectares is proposed for affordable housing providing 5 units at 50 dwellings per hectare.

Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 28.

The development of the site should include a safe pedestrian route within the site allowing access from Wellington Road into Church Street for school and other journeys and traffic calming should be achieved by appropriate surface treatment into the site and along Wellington Road.

The site should incorporate 20 public car parking spaces on Wellington Road.

Archaeological evaluation will be required by planning condition on this site.
LAND AT VICTORIA MILL, NORTH OF MILLFIELD

4.26 Land at Victoria Mill, north of Millfield, comprises land previously used for allotments and latterly in agricultural use (1.29 hectares) and land still in use for allotments (1.33 hectares gross) (see Figure 5). The re-evaluation of the future of these sites is appropriate because the development of the 280 dwellings South of Eye Airfield will result in the sites being bounded by housing on all four sides. The current access from Castleton Way is substandard and is unlikely to be suitable for access even to the agricultural land which reduces its potential for renewed agricultural use. Access would therefore need to be taken from the development site South of Eye Airfield.

4.27 Permission has been granted by the Secretary of State to dispose of the agricultural part of the site. An archaeological assessment has been undertaken (Supporting Document 16) which identified evidence of burial sites on the extreme western edge of the site which should be preserved in-situ.

4.28 The development of the Victoria Mill allotments can only be considered if satisfactory alternative provision is made in the Town (see paragraph 6.13), and only then, if permission to dispose is granted by the Secretary of State. The allotments site also meets the criteria for Local Green Spaces and the site is, therefore, also identified as a Local Green Space in Policy Eye 20. Its value as a Green Space is its recreational value which would transfer to a new allotment site if a satisfactory site is identified.

4.29 One of the benefits of the development of these sites is that the financial benefits will accrue to the Town Council which can be invested to meet the infrastructure and social needs of the Town, a strong requirement of local people during consultation on the Plan.

POLICY EYE 6 - LAND NORTH OF VICTORIA MILL ALLOTMENTS

Land north of Victoria Mill allotments is proposed for market and affordable housing. Of the 1.24 hectare site about 0.2 hectares on the western side should not be developed to protect heritage assets and preservation in-situ will be required by condition. About 0.4 hectares is proposed for affordable, rented, social rented and assisted purchase housing at 40 dwellings per hectare providing about 15 homes and about 0.64 hectares is proposed for market housing at 30 dwellings per hectare providing about 19 homes.

Electric vehicle charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 30.
POLICY EYE 7 - VICTORIA MILL ALLOTMENTS

The site of the Victoria Mill allotments is proposed for housing subject to permission to dispose being granted by the Secretary of State and suitable alternative allotments being identified.

Of the 1.33 hectares gross, about 0.4 hectares is proposed for affordable rented, social rented and assisted purchase housing at 40 dwellings per hectare providing about 15 homes, about 0.4 hectares for market housing at 30 dwellings per hectare providing about 12 homes and the remaining 0.53 hectares should be used for sheltered housing at 85 dwellings per hectare providing about 45 homes.

Electric vehicle charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 30.

An archaeological evaluation will be required prior to the granting of planning permission.

WINDFALL OR SMALL SITES ALLOWANCE

4.30 64 dwellings have been completed in Eye between 2012 and 2018 (excluding care home) – an average of 6.4 dwellings per year. No major sites have been developed in this period so all these have come forward on sites of less than 10 dwellings. Continued supply at that level would provide a further 120 dwellings between 2018 and 2036. For the purposes of the Neighbourhood Plan it is assumed that 50% of this rate will apply over the Plan period providing 60 homes.
4.31 In order to plan properly for future development which may be required beyond or just before the end of the 2036 Plan period the views of local people have been sought on a reserve site for development. Two sites were put forward for comment – East of Century Way (currently the subject of a planning application referred to as Tuffs Road/Maple Way) and West of the South of Eye Airfield Development to North of Castleton Way. There was a clear public preference for the latter site.

4.32 The benefits of this site are that it is:
   a. close to the High School;
   b. close to health facilities;
   c. close to proposed cycleway and footpath access to the Town Centre; and
   d. has direct access to A140

4.33 However since the consultation it has become clear that there remains land north of the permitted development South of Eye Airfield that is outside of the Gas Compressor Safeguarding Zone (see Figure 6). This land is preferred because:
   - it provides a logical extension of the current planning permission;
   - it has a definite boundary beyond which development would not be permitted;
   - it does not close the gap between Eye and Yaxley; and
   - it provides an opportunity for a public open space to be provided between the residential part of Eye and the Airfield Industrial Area.

4.34 This site, designated as South of Eye Airfield Phase 2, would require upfront archaeological evaluation including field evaluation, due to the high potential of multi-period settlement and funerary remains.
POLICY EYE 8 – RESERVE SITE SOUTH OF EYE AIRFIELD, PHASE 2

Should further residential development be required before the end of the Plan period a reserve site of 5.8 hectares is allocated South of Eye Airfield. At 30 dwellings per hectare the site would provide about 174 dwellings.

A landscaped public open space should be provided between the development and the Airfield Business Area.

Archaeological Assessment will be required prior to the granting of planning permission.

The proportion of affordable/sheltered housing and the house types required should be assessed based on an updated Housing Needs Assessment closer to the start of development.

Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accordance with Policy Eye 30.
4.35 Table 3 summarises the housing allocations in this Plan by site, number of dwellings and type of dwellings.

### Table 3 – Housing Proposals by Site, Type and Number of Homes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site/dwellings</th>
<th>Affordable</th>
<th>Sheltered</th>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South of Eye Airfield</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery/Health and Care</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Factory</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddock House</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Agricultural</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Allotments</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windfall allowance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
<td><strong>74</strong></td>
<td><strong>414</strong></td>
<td><strong>579</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Need Targets</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No Target</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.36 This Plan makes no proposals for phasing of the release of land but, based on knowledge of the sites concerned, Table 4 sets out how homes may come forward over the Plan period.

### Table 4 – Expected Phasing 2 Housing Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site/Period</th>
<th>2018 - 2023</th>
<th>2024 - 2029</th>
<th>2030 - 2036</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South of Eye Airfield</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery/Health and Care</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Factory</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddock House</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Agricultural</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Allotments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windfall sites</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>254</strong></td>
<td><strong>229</strong></td>
<td><strong>96</strong></td>
<td><strong>579</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential reserve site</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As part of the Plan preparation process a number of other sites were considered for development through the Site Assessment process – Supporting Document 3. The table below sets out a summary of why they have not been put forward for development in this Neighbourhood Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site (reference numbers are those used on SD3)</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site 1a Land to the North of Maple Way</td>
<td>The site is within a Special Landscape Area and access via Maple Way and Bellands Way is very poor. The site has been put forward as an option for a reserve site but there is a very strong public preference for land north west of Castleton Way – see Policy Eye 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 5/6 Land South of Castleton Way and West of Hartismere School</td>
<td>The site is isolated from the main built-up area of Eye. Development here would significantly reduce the gap between Eye and Yaxley.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 7 Land West of the Proposed Crematorium</td>
<td>As site 5/6.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 5
The Rettery And
Chicken Factory Area
5.1 This area is bounded by the Lamsey Beck Brook and the boundary of the Hartismere High School to the North; Lambseth Street, residential development and the Cross Street Car Park to the East and Yaxley Road and the Cemetery and proposed Crematorium to the South. It incorporates the Chicken Factory (proposed for residential development, a food retail outlet and car parking) (see Figure 7), the wooded area north of the chicken factory, privately owned land used for allotments and fields not currently used for agriculture, but by walkers using a number of footpaths. Much of the area is used for informal recreation.

5.2 The area is identified as an area of opportunity which could provide an attractive mixed use area close to the Town Centre providing:

a. accessible informal recreation including picnic areas;
b. footpath and cycleway routes connecting the western side of the Town - the High School, a possible new Primary School, Hartismere Health and Care, residential development north of Castleton Way, the Eye Airfield Employment and Heritage area, the Cemetery and proposed Crematorium – with the Town Centre and the eastern side of the Town;
c. an attractive new residential area with links into the countryside;
d. an attractive access into the District shopping centre; and,
e. additional car parking for local residents, town centre workers and visitors – including those using the recreation area.

5.3 The relevant policies relating to this area in this Plan are:

a. Policy Eye 9 which proposes housing, retail and car parking on the Chicken Factory site.
b. Policy Eye 10 which proposes public car parking at the Rettery.
c. Policy Eye 12 which proposes a crematorium west of the Cemetery.
d. Policy Eye 13 which proposes a site for a Primary School West of Hartismere High School.
e. Policy Eye 14 which proposes new recreation and leisure facilities at the High School.
f. Policy Eye 20 which identifies Local Green Spaces in the area
g. Policy Eye 27 which proposes a new cycleway and footpath connecting Lambseth Street with Castleton Road west of the High School.

5.4 The Town Council will work with all stakeholders to develop proposals for this area to improve access and amenity for informal recreation, provide a walking and cycling route joining up different parts of the Town, improve the Town’s retail offer and to improve access and car parking for the Town Centre.
5.5 The redevelopment of the Chicken Factory for a mixed use development of housing, retail and car parking is fundamental to achieving the aims for the The Rettery/Chicken Factory area.

5.6 The Viability Assessment [Supporting Document 8] indicated that the site would not be viable with an affordable housing requirement at 22% given the likely costs of clearing the site and dealing with any contamination. Given the lower values for a food retail outlet than for residential development and the car parking proposed there is no affordable housing requirement for this site which could provide 78 market homes (see paragraphs 4.21 & 4.22).

5.7 A medieval leper hospital has been recorded within this site. However, it is likely that archaeology has been heavily disturbed due to earlier development of the site. Evaluations should take place at the earliest opportunity to establish if there are any surviving remains associated with the hospital and cemetery. It may be desirable to incorporate the former station buildings within the development [SCC Design Guidance]
5.8 Eye is currently served by two small supermarkets in the Town Centre and many people undertake their major grocery shops in Diss or elsewhere. Discussions with the operators of the two stores have confirmed that they consider the current sites inadequate for an increasing population and that there is an opportunity for a new 460 square metre (5,000 square feet) food retail outlet in the Town.

5.9 This is supported by the conclusions of the Carter Jonas Joint Town Centres and Retail Study [September 2015] commissioned by Mid Suffolk District Council which identified an additional need. Paragraph 9.60 states that: 'Realistically the level of floorspace capacity could support one new smaller convenience store format in Debenham or Eye,....by 2031; subject to the availability and suitability of sites and/or vacant buildings.' This study is based on lower levels of population increase in Eye than is proposed in this Plan and the assumption that existing supermarkets remain.


5.10 Ideally a new store would be located in the Town Centre and the redevelopment of the Chicken Factory provides an opportunity for this to be achieved providing modern food retailing while encouraging shoppers to visit the Town Centre shops via good pedestrian links (see Figure 7).

5.11 There will be additional traffic generated by the location of a new food outlet in the Town Centre. Before planning permission is granted a traffic management plan will be required.
POLICY EYE 9 - REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CHICKEN FACTORY

The Chicken Factory site is proposed for housing, food retail and public car parking uses. Of the total area of 4.46 hectares:

a. 1.89 hectares is proposed for market housing providing about 78 homes at 35 dwellings per hectare. Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 30.

b. 0.5 hectares is proposed for a 460 square metre (5000 square feet) food retail outlet and operational parking. Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 31. There should be good pedestrian links with the Town Centre via Cross Street Car Park.

c. 1.46 hectares is proposed for about 80 public car parking spaces and informal recreational use. Electric Vehicle Charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 31. There should be good pedestrian links into the Town Centre. This area of the site is within the Special Landscape Area and substantial landscaping of the car park will be required.

The site should provide links to the pedestrian and cycleway network through the Rettery area to the east and west of the site.

Before planning permission is granted:

a. an archaeological evaluation will be required,

b. a traffic management plan should be prepared to mitigate the effects of additional traffic through the Town Centre,

c. site investigation will be required to determine groundwater contamination risks,

d. a flood risk assessment applying the sequential test should be undertaken.
OTHER PROPOSALS FOR ADDITIONAL CAR PARKING SPACES

5.12 A major concern of local residents is the shortage of car parking spaces. The off-street and on-street spaces in the Town Centre are needed to meet the following needs:

a. provide access to the Town Centre for shoppers and visitors;

b. provide car parking for households in the old Town where many homes lack their own car parking spaces; and

c. to provide car parking for workers.

5.13 This requires two responses - better management of spaces to meet the above objectives and the identification of additional spaces. In addition to the 80 spaces at the Chicken Factory site there is potential for up to 60 spaces at The Rettery between the private allotments and the River (see Figure 8). This is a pedestrian right of way and Policy Eye 27 proposes that it becomes a cycleway as well as providing access to the north west of the Town including the school, health facilities and new development. The design of the car parking will need to ensure pedestrian and cycle safety, minimise any impact on the conservation area, nearby listed buildings and retain the undeveloped character of the green area which leads into Local Green Space 3 (see Policy Eye 20 and Figure 13).

5.14 It is important that public car parks particularly those at the Chicken Factory and at The Rettery which will have good access to the new cycleway proposed through The Rettery area should have good provision for cycle parking to encourage people to cycle to and from the Town Centre.

POLICY EYE 10 - PUBLIC CAR PARKING AT THE RETTERY

Land at the eastern end of The Rettery is proposed for up to 60 public car parking spaces. The design should ensure pedestrian and cyclist safety and minimise any impact on the nearby conservation area and listed buildings and retain the undeveloped character of the area.

POLICY EYE 11 – CYCLE PARKING IN PUBLIC CAR PARKS

Cycle parking should be provided within new public car parks to meet at least the standards set out in the County Council’s Parking Guidance.
Figure 8 – Additional Car Parking Spaces at The Rettery
SECTION 6
Other Land Use Proposals
6.1 In England in 2014 there were 340,000 cremations representing 77% of all deaths. There are only 232 crematoria in England and pressure on their use is resulting in longer waiting times.

6.2 Following the Chancellor’s announcement of a review of the provision of crematoria in his 2015 Budget, the Government undertook that review in 2016. The review shows that, of all the English regions, the East of England has the second lowest level of provision with 23 crematoria.

6.3 The position in Eye reflects this national picture. The nearest crematoria are at Ipswich (22 miles), Beccles (25 miles) and Bury St Edmunds (27 miles). The lead time for cremations in the area is increasing. This position will worsen as the population of the County increases and ages.

6.4 There is the opportunity in Eye to improve provision and mitigate long lead times and journeys. The proposed site allows the opportunity to secure improvements for the adjoining cemetery through shared access and car parking. There is potential for other benefits in the medium to longer term.

6.5 The site is visible from the north and west. The siting and the scale of the building should be designed to minimise impact on these views and significant landscaping will be required to screen the site as a whole.

Figure 9 – Land for Crematorium
POLICY EYE 12 - CREMATORIUM, LAND WEST OF EYE CEMETERY, YAXLEY RD

Land West of Eye Cemetery, north of Yaxley Road is proposed for a crematorium. The site should provide 30 car parking spaces to serve the crematorium and the cemetery and provide pedestrian access into the cemetery. Archaeological evaluation will be required prior to planning permission being granted. Vehicle charging should be provided in accord with Policy Eye 31. The impact of the building and the site as a whole from viewpoints to the north and west should be minimised through the careful scaling and siting of the building and significant landscaping.

SCHOOLS

6.6 Currently St Peter and St Paul Primary School provides 210 places and is at capacity. The provision in this Plan and in surrounding catchment villages is expected to require an additional 170 places for Primary School Children and around 81 places for pre-school children (see Table 5). The expansion of the school on its current site is likely to be the most cost effective option to provide the additional primary school places required by this additional housing. Plans are, therefore, being prepared to increase the capacity of the School on its current site to 310 places (Phase 1) and 420 places (Phase 2). This would however require pre-school provision to be made elsewhere in the town. Hartismere High School is capable of expansion within its current curtilage to accommodate the pupil numbers expected from the development in this Plan (149 secondary and sixth form places) and beyond.

6.7 The area surrounding the Primary School and the Church Street/Castle Street junction in particular, is already very congested at school start and finish times. The plans to expand the Primary School should include measures to mitigate this impact. This is being investigated by the County Council.

6.8 The County Councils preference is to expand St Peter and St Paul but it supports the allocation of an alternative, reserve, Primary School site to provide the option of a new school (either to replace or in addition to the current school) and therefore this Plan proposes a site west of Hartismere High School. Whether this site is brought in to use this is a decision for the County Council working with educational providers not for the Neighbourhood Plan.
6.9 The relative costs are thought to be:

- alterations and additions to the St Peter and St Paul to make it into a 420 place school – cost currently unknown but potentially high due to the constrained nature of the site
- £4.5m for a new 210 place school (excluding land costs)
- £7m for a new 420 place school (excluding land costs)

6.10 Developer contributions from the site South of Eye Airfield for early years and primary school places total £877,000.

Table 5 – Calculation of Demand for School Places

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Allocation</th>
<th>Indicative housing capacity excluding sheltered</th>
<th>Primary Pupils yield (0.25 / dwelling)</th>
<th>Secondary Pupils yield (0.18 / dwelling)</th>
<th>Sixth form yield (0.04 / dwelling)</th>
<th>Early Years places yield (0.12 / dwelling)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South of Eye Airfield</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery/Health and Care</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken Factory</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddock House</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Agricultural</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Mill Allotments</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windfall sites</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Reserve Site</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windfall sites in other villages in catchment</td>
<td>Say 40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.11 A site of 2.2 hectares is required for a reserve site and land adjacent Hartismere High School is proposed which has the added advantage of enabling some facilities to be shared (see Figure 10). It is also close to the main area of existing and new population. This site could also accommodate an Early Years Unit.

6.12 There is very high potential for similar archaeological remains found at Hartismere High School and South of Eye Airfield at this site. Evaluation at the detailed planning stage is required. Opportunities will be sought for safe cycling and walking links to the existing and any future proposed school sites.

Figure 10 – Land for Primary School, West of Hartismere High School

POLICY EYE 13 – LAND FOR PRIMARY SCHOOL WEST OF HARTISMERe HIGH SCHOOL

Land to the west of Hartismere High School is proposed as a reserve site for a Primary School. Archaeological evaluation will be required at prior to the granting of planning permission.
6.13 If the current allotments site is to be redeveloped for housing a suitable replacement site or sites would need to be found. Any new site needs to meet the following criteria:

- Space for the provision of the number of allotments currently in use (there are 56 full size allotments currently of which 11 are vacant giving a need for about 67) plus provision for a 50% increase if demand increases.
- Soil quality of at least the standard of the current allotments across the whole site.
- Vehicular access and parking of a higher standard and quantity than is available on the current site.
- The alternative allotments are capable of being handed over to allotment holders at a time and in a condition to enable continuous cultivation.
- Allotment holders support the new location.
6.14 Eye has no leisure or sports centre and recreation field space at the Community Centre is limited to one full size and one small football pitch even though Eye Saints Football Club run a number of teams.

6.15 There is an opportunity to provide a public access Leisure Centre within the grounds of Hartismere High School as part of the alterations to the School to provide additional school places for the growing population (see Figure 11). This provision should include a multi-use sports hall, a gym and changing rooms and should be capable of being secured from the school area to allow public access during the school day and out of school hours.

Figure 11 - Land at Hartismere High School for Sports Provision

**POLICY EYE 14 – SPORTS HALL AND RELATED USES AT HARTISME HIGH SCHOOL**

Land is proposed for a Sports Hall and related uses at Hartismere High School. The provision of these facilities will enable public access to a range of sports facilities through a suitable membership or access scheme. Replacement of lost car parking spaces will be required. An archeological investigation will be required by condition.
SECTION 7
Safeguarding and Development Control
7.1 The proposals in this Plan result in the settlement boundary shown on the Policies Map. Outside of the settlement boundary development Policy Eye 16 will apply:

**POLICY EYE 15 - DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE THE SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY**

The settlement boundary identifies the area required for Eye to meet its housing and other development requirements. Further development outside the settlement boundary will be resisted unless they:

- represent appropriate uses in the countryside, such as agriculture, forestry, horticulture, fishing and equestrian activities and energy generation,
- relate to the retention of existing and appropriate provision of new commercial businesses,
- relate to necessary utilities infrastructure and where no reasonable alternative location is available.

Archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to any planning application if there is a reasonable likelihood of significant archaeological remains being found on or adjacent to the site.

7.2 Supporting Document SD 12 describes the Town’s conservation area and historic buildings. Figure 15 shows the conservation area boundary. The Suffolk Historic Environment Record and Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service should be consulted at the earliest opportunity for advice on the likely impacts of planning applications on archaeological heritage. Policy Eye 17 sets out the considerations for all development within the settlement. The Suffolk Historic Environment Record and Suffolk County Council Archaeological Service should be consulted at the earliest opportunity for advice on the likely impacts of planning applications on archaeological heritage.

7.3 Account should be taken in development proposals of fluvial flooding (flooding from rivers and the sea) and pluvial flood risk (surface water flooding). Supporting Document 18 provides maps of fluvial and pluvial flood zones. National policy is set out in paragraphs 155 and 161 of the National Planning Policy Framework and local policy is provided in Policy CS4 of the Mid Suffolk Core Strategy and the Suffolk Flood Risk Management Strategy. The sequential approach should be applied within specific sites in order to direct development to the areas of lowest flood risk. If it is not possible to locate all of the development in Flood Zone 1, then the most vulnerable elements of the
development should be located in the lowest risk parts of the site. If the whole site is at high risk (Flood Zone 3), a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) should assess the flood characteristics across the site and direct development towards those areas where the risk is lowest.

7.4 Unless otherwise required by this Plan new development should comply with standards required in the Suffolk Guidance for Parking document produced by Suffolk County Council and last updated in 2015.

**Figure 12 – Eye Conservation Area Boundary and Listed Buildings**
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POLICY EYE 16 – DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY

All development proposals are expected to contribute and if possible enhance the local distinctiveness of the Eye Neighbourhood Plan area, its ‘island’ setting and its heritage. They should demonstrate high quality, sustainable and inclusive design and architecture that respects the Conservation Area as delineated by the Mid Suffolk District Council Appraisal of 2009. Proposals for development located outside of the Conservation Area will be considered taking account of the scale and of any harm or loss in relation to the heritage asset.

Proposals should address the following criteria:

a. high quality materials should be used that contribute positively to the Conservation Area or any area located outside it and should respect the local setting;

b. retention of traditional heritage features such as flint walls, the Hoxne half round Banham Bricks and the black-boarded outbuildings;

c. the importance of responding creatively to, and enhancing, the setting of the immediate area, having regard to the character of the adjacent buildings and spaces, including scale, orientation, height and massing;

d. ensure that designated heritage assets and their settings are preserved and where possible, enhanced;

e. colour schemes of buildings should be in keeping with those of the surrounding area;

f. archaeological investigations must be undertaken prior to any development if there is a reasonable likelihood of archaeological remains being found on or adjacent to the site; and,

g. all new development should demonstrate a clear understanding of the rural context of Eye and provide appropriate levels of landscaping, boundary and screening planting.

Proposals should take account of flood risk from all sources, including fluvial flood risk and pluvial flood risk. Development should not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere.

To encourage cycling, cycle parking will be required where possible for new development including redevelopment and changes of use to meet at least the standards set out in the County Council’s Suffolk Guidance for Parking.

Unless otherwise indicated in this Plan development proposals should meet the standards set out in the Suffolk Guidance for Parking document.
7.5 Guidance on design is provided in Supporting Document 19 – Design Guidance. This should be referred to when detailed proposals for development/planning applications are prepared.

7.6 This plan seeks to retain the current Special Landscape Area (SLA) as defined in MSDC adopted Local Plan. The SLA is defined on the Policies Map. Supporting Document 26 - Eye Special Landscape Area describes the character and special qualities associated with area. The aim of the SLA designation is to retain, enhance and restore the distinctive and sensitive landscape and settlement character of the designated area. In particular strengthening the wooded valley meadowlands and fens landscape with appropriate planting and sympathetic management of the landscape features.

POLICY EYE 17 – SPECIAL LANDSCAPE AREA

Development proposals within or adjacent to the Eye Special Landscape Area which will have a significant adverse impact on the character and special qualities of the SLA will be resisted where the impact cannot be satisfactorily mitigated.

MANAGING CHANGE IN THE LANDSCAPE

7.7 Supporting document 17 - Landscape and Visual Assessment, identifies key viewpoints into and out of the Town and provides an assessment of their sensitivity to change. These views define the rural character of settlement and demonstrate the importance of landscaping to suitably blend the edge of the town into the rural hinterland, thereby sustaining the rural nature of the town.

7.8 The objective of Policy Eye 18 is to provide a landscape baseline and allow development proposals to respond sympathetically and appropriately to the character of the landscape in all parts of the parish. Applicants should refer to Mid Suffolk DC Landscape Guidance (2015) and source document of the Suffolk Landscape Character Assessment and associated guidance for the relevant landscape character types (2011).
POLICY EYE 18 – MANAGING CHANGE IN THE LANDSCAPE

Development proposals should maintain and enhance the character of the landscape in which they are set and complement the historic pattern of enclosure and distribution of woodland, meadowland and hedgerows.

VISUALLY IMPORTANT OPEN SPACES

7.9 Visually Important Open Spaces (VIOS) are areas of open space which are important to the settlement pattern, street scene or visual amenity.

7.10 Supporting Document 27 - Visually Important Open Spaces (VIOS) provides evidence for areas of land which have been identified within the parish of Eye. The Plan seeks to retain the designations as defined in the current Mid Suffolk Local Plan and add additional open spaces which meet the criteria set. They are shown on the Policies Map.

7.11 The Plan retains Mid Suffolk District Council Local Plan policy SB3. This policy directs that within or abutting settlement boundaries, visually important open spaces will be protected because of their contribution to the character or the appearance of their surroundings. Development which would have a harmful effect on these identified visually important open spaces will be resisted.

POLICY EYE 19 – VISUALLY IMPORTANT OPEN SPACES

Within or abutting settlement boundaries, visually important open spaces will be protected because of their contribution to the character or appearance of their surroundings and their amenity value to the local community.

Where appropriate, development proposals must address the effect they will have on any local identified visually important open spaces and any effect on views of the conservation area and demonstrate that they will not significantly affect the views of these spaces.
The National Planning Policy Framework makes provision for the identification of local green spaces:

The designation of land as Local Green Space through local and neighbourhood plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them. Designating land as Local Green Space should be consistent with the local planning of sustainable development and complement investment in sufficient homes, jobs and other essential services. Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or updated, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period.

The Local Green Space designation should only be used where the green space is:

a. in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;

b. demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquility or richness of its wildlife; and

c. local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

(Paragraphs 99 and 100 of the NPPF 2018)

The green spaces identified in this Plan have been selected because of their contribution to the quality of the life in Eye. Between them these special assets contribute important values that need to be recognised, protected and where appropriate added to. They have been assessed around particular values:

a. important for biodiversity;

b. important for landscape and views to retain character of town;

c. important for linking green spaces together to meet human and wildlife needs; and,

d. important for access and recreation values – health and wellbeing as well as sustainable movement.
POLICY EYE 20 - LOCAL GREEN SPACES

The following areas are designated as a Local Green Spaces:

Area: Town Moors woodland
      Town Moors playing field
      The Rettery
      Rettery allotments
      Oak Crescent Green
      Primary School Playing Field
      St Peter & St Paul Churchyard
      Eye Castle and Meadow
      The Pennings Local Nature Reserve
      Eye Cricket Pitch
      Eye Cemetery
      Hartismere School Playing Fields
      Victoria Windmill
      Victoria Allotments
      490th Memorial
      Abbey Bridge meadow
      Eye Scout Hut
      Hartismere Hospital Roadside Meadow
      Paddock House Roadside Meadow
      Langton House orchard
      Lamsey Beck field (West)
      Lamsey Beck field (East)
      The Meadows
      Century Rd /Victoria Hill junction patch
      Eye Bowls club

Linear: Access Buckshorn Lane car park to Castle Hill
        Rapsy Tapsy Lane

Proposals for development on these Local Green Spaces will only be permitted in very special circumstances including if their value can be replaced in another location.
LOCAL GREEN SPACES

1. Town Moors woodland
2. Town Moors playing field
3. The Rettery
4. Rettery allotments
5. Oak Crescent Green
6. Primary School playing field
7. St Peter & St Paul churchyard
8. Eye Castle and meadow
9. The Pennings local nature reserve
10. Eye cricket pitch
11. Eye cemetery
12. Hartismere school playing fields
13. Victoria windmill
14. Victoria Mill allotments
15. 490th Memorial
16. Abbey Bridge meadow
17. Eye Scout Hut
18. Hartismere Hospital roadside meadow
19. Paddock House roadside meadow
20. Langton House orchard
21. Lamsey Beck field (west)
22. Lamsey Beck field (east)
23. The Meadow
24. Century Rd/Victoria Hill junction patch
25. Eye Bowls Club
26. Access Buckshorn Lane car park to Castle Hill
27. Rapsy Tapsy Lane

Figure 13 - Local Green Spaces
7.14 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (para 174) states that, to protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity, plans should:

a. identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity; wildlife corridors and stepping stones that connect them; and areas identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation; and

b. promote the conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species; and identify and pursue opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity.

7.15 There are a variety of biodiversity habitats within the parish of Eye (some Priority habitats listed in s41 NERC Act 2006) including:

- floodplain grazing land
- large blocks of woodland e.g. Waterloo Plantation and Town Moors Woodland (known to support bats) with one designated as a County Wildlife Site (Clint Farm Woodland CW S)
- rivers & streams
- ponds (some supporting Gt Crested Newts, a priority species)
- fens (irreplaceable habitat as listed in NPPF) with one designated as a County Wildlife Site (Old Osier Bed CW S)
- hedgerows (Priority habitat)
- arable fields and margins (supporting farmland birds many listed as Priority species eg skylark
- veteran trees (irreplaceable habitat as listed in NPPF)

7.16 The Plan seeks to ensure a high level of connectivity for biodiversity by providing a coherent matrix of habitats within the farmed landscape. This meets the requirement set out in para 174 in NPPF 2018 and details of the local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological network opportunities are found in Supporting Document AA. There are no sites designated for their geological value within the Parish of Eye.
POLICY EYE 21 – BIODIVERSITY NETWORKS

Development proposals should deliver measurable, proportionate and appropriate Biodiversity net gain by retaining and enhancing habitats and improved ecological connectivity to create biodiversity networks with the surrounding landscape.
7.14 This Plan retains the district shopping area and related policies identified in the Local Plan.

Figure 14 - Eye District Centre
POLICY EYE 22 – EYE DISTRICT CENTRE

Retail development should normally take place within the defined district shopping area and, within this area, proposals for the creation of additional retail floorspace by extension, change of use or redevelopment will be permitted subject to the following criteria:-

- proposals should be designed to a high standard with attention to scale, massing, detailing and materials;

- provision should be made for satisfactory access, servicing arrangements and parking in accordance with the standards adopted by the district planning authority;

- where shop units are provided on the ground floor, offices or residential accommodation should normally be provided above; and,

- proposals should not have a significantly adverse effect on the general amenity of neighbouring properties, particularly by reasons of noise or smell.

POLICY EYE 23 - USES APPROPRIATE TO THE DISTRICT SHOPPING CENTRE

Within the defined district shopping area, proposed uses within class a1 (shops), class a2 (financial and professional services) and class a3 (food and drink) of the town and country planning (use classes) order 1987 will normally be permitted, provided that:-

- there is no adverse effect on environmental amenity or highway safety;

- satisfactory servicing has been made to meet the need for off-street parking; and,

- adequate provision has been made to meet the need for off-street parking in accord with the County Council’s Parking Guidance.
POLICY EYE 24 - SHOP FRONT DESIGN

Proposals for the introduction, replacement or alteration of shop fronts should be in scale with the building in which they are set and be sympathetic in nature and appearance to the character of the building and its surroundings.

Within the conservation area special attention should be given to the design, colour, materials and detailing of proposed shop fronts. Standardised designs, adopted by retailers as part of a corporate image may require adaptation to reflect the character and appearance of the existing street scene.

POLICY EYE 25 - RETAINING TRADITIONAL SHOP FRONTS

Within the conservation area, and particularly where a proposal affects a listed building, the retention of traditional shop fronts of merit will be required even though a planning permission may be granted for a change to a non-shopping use.
SECTION 8
Movement
8.1 Parking provision within new development including redevelopment and changes of use should be consistent with the County Council’s Parking Standards except where higher standards are proposed in this Plan, for example, for Electric Vehicle Charging.

8.2 Although new parking spaces are proposed it will be important to manage spaces to achieve a number of objectives as stated below in Policy Eye 26.

**NON PLANNING POLICY EYE 26 - MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC CAR PARKING SPACES**

On and off street public car parking spaces will be managed to meet the following objectives:

- to encourage people to use the shops, cafes and services in the Town Centre;
- to provide spaces for households in the historic centre without their own spaces (in as far that this is feasible); and,
- to provide spaces for people working in the Town Centre

**PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY**

8.3 It is Important to link up new parts of Eye with the historic Town Centre by providing cycle and pedestrian access. A new right of way should be created to provide cycleway and footpath provision between Hartismere High School and Hartismere Health and Care and the new developments South of Eye Airfield and historic Eye to encourage non-vehicular travel and reduce pressure on roads and car parking in the historic centre (see Figure 15).

**POLICY EYE 27 – PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY WEST**

A new footpath and cycleway should be provided between The Rettery and Castleton Way and the western boundary of Hartismere School to encourage walking and cycling as a practical and desirable alternative to private cars. It should link up with paths to Eye Airfield to provide access to employment and heritage.
8.4 It is also important to improve access on the eastern side of the Town (see Figure 16).
8.5 The general maintenance and improvement of the rights of way network to provide access within the Town and between the Town and the Countryside is desirable.

**POLICY EYE 29 – IMPROVEMENT OF PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY**

Rights of Way will be protected and enhanced and connecting routes to surrounding countryside and villages will be improved.

---

**ELECTRIC CAR CHARGING**

8.6 **Supporting Document 15 – Electric Vehicle Charging** sets out a strategy to increase the take up of electric car charging in the Town. The basis of this strategy is:

a. passing tourist and through-commute traffic – Eye’s location on the main route from the South-east to Norwich, the Broads and the East Anglian coast means that it has the potential to act as a transit charging destination for tourists and those travelling for work by electric vehicle from the Bury St Edmunds and Ipswich conurbations and travellers coming via the A12/A14 onto the A140 towards Norwich. A key additional benefit to developing rapid charging infrastructure is that Eye could be marketed to out-of-area travellers, allowing them to charge their vehicle whilst relaxing or exploring the town;

b. local residential traffic – there is no current data available to estimate the current or future uptake of EV by residents of Eye and the local area. However, without charging infrastructure, the uptake of EV will be stifled; and,

c. local business traffic – without any charging infrastructure in its key employment areas, businesses will not consider the cost benefit of using EV, whether in their passenger or commercial fleets.

8.7 To achieve this there is a policy requirement for charging points in all new development. Charging of EV in existing parts of the town should be a blend of provision with the following opportunities identified for installation over the short to long term:
a. households with off-street car parking– this should be promoted to existing residents. Residents with off-street private parking already have access to grant support which provides grant funding of up to 75% towards the cost of installing electric vehicle charge points at domestic properties across the UK; and,

b. households without off-street car parking - provision should be made to give residents with no off-street private parking appropriate access to charging points.

8.8 Home charging presents difficulties in areas where residents have no off street parking (i.e. no private driveways). Lamp post mounted charge points have a number of advantages over standalone points:

- no new street furniture/clutter;
- much cheaper installation costs; and,
- the flexibility to change locations should demand be recognised in alternative locations.

8.9 It will be a requirement that 20% of new car public parking spaces should have charging points.

---

**POLICY EYE 30 – ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING IN DEVELOPMENT**

Electric Vehicle charging will be required in all new development to the following standards:

- All dwellings with off-road parking - one point per dwelling
- Dwellings with communal (non-designated) parking – 10% of car park spaces
- New build pubs/hotels/restaurants – 10% of car park spaces or Bespoke depending on the site
- Commercial with staff parking (greater than 10 spaces) – 5% of car park spaces

**POLICY EYE 31 – ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING IN NEW PUBLIC CAR PARKING SPACES**

10% of all new public car parking spaces should provide electric charging points.
8.10 Traffic issues are a major concern for local residents and businesses. Traffic levels will increase because of the growth already permitted (residential and commercial) and also that proposed in this Plan. Also the impact of the proposed new roundabouts on the A140 at Castleton Way and the other south of the Brome Triangle is as yet unknown. It is understood that Suffolk County Council is proposing a 20 MPH zone in the Town Centre but firm proposals have yet to be published. Therefore Policy Eye 32 proposes a traffic management plan should be prepared as soon as possible.

**POLICY EYE 32 - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT**

A traffic management Plan should be prepared to identify the most appropriate measures including traffic calming, 20 MPH areas, one way streets and lorry routing to ameliorate the growth in traffic and ensure the Town Centre is a pedestrian friendly place to shop.
SECTION 9
Eye Business Area
9.1 The framework for planning decisions on the Eye Business Area identified on the Policies Map is provided by a Planning Position statement approved by the District Council in November 2013. This is a holding document that anticipates the Joint Local Plan that, 5 years later, the District Council has still failed to produce. The Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group intends to engage with the landowners in the Business Area to develop policy for the area but in the meantime proposes the following policy.

**POLICY EYE 33 - EYE BUSINESS AREA**

Appropriate uses within the Eye Business Area are IT centres, data centres, research and development, green products, high value engineering manufacture, financial, insurance and also other business park uses for smaller companies. Further piecemeal extension of the airfield is likely to be detrimental to achieving the strategic aims for this site and will be resisted.

Any development should include Electric Vehicle Charging points consistent with Eye Policy 30 and Cycle Parking consistent with County Council Parking Guidance.

Rights of way should be maintained and enhanced within the area to allow access to and from the Town and to encourage wartime heritage visiting.
SECTION 10
Infrastructure and Deliverability
10.1 A consistent comment from local people during the preparation of the Plan has been that infrastructure and facilities need to be improved to cope with development. The District Council’s Joint Local Plan Consultation Document (2017) makes a commitment that development will not be approved unless mitigating infrastructure is in place. Eye Town Council will hold the District Council to that commitment. A Town Infrastructure Plan will be prepared in parallel with this Plan to ensure that infrastructure requirements are clearly identified. Supporting Document 9 – Infrastructure Requirements is a draft of this Plan.

10.2 The Section 106 Agreement for the 280 dwellings South of Eye Airfield (Policy Eye 3) makes provision for a range of infrastructure (see SD9) but fails to provide support for community facilities even though they were identified in a plan of requirements prepared for the District Council. Furthermore the failure of the District Council to determine an application for a neighbourhood plan in 2013 means that the Town Council only receives 15% of CIL capped at £100 per dwellings limiting its ability to meet gaps in infrastructure provision.

**POLICY EYE 34 – INFRASTRUCTURE**

All development in Eye will be expected to contribute to the infrastructure requirements for the Town and the implementation of the Town Infrastructure Plan including improvement of community facilities. Mid Suffolk District Council as the main beneficiary of Community Infrastructure Levy will be expected to invest the majority of Community Infrastructure Levy raised from development in Eye in the infrastructure requirements of the Town.

**EARLY YEARS**

10.3 There is currently one early years setting operating in Eye the need for an additional early years setting is acknowledged within the Plan. However there are some issues with only providing the option for the setting within the allocated school site. Suffolk County Council (SCC) usually do encourage early years settings to be located with primary schools where possible and it is sensible that this option is available. However, in this case, as there isn’t a guarantee that the school can come forward due to funding, this would not be the best location for an early years setting to come forward on its own. About 915.2m² of land and safe, and sustainable routes to access the site is required.
10.4 If co-location with the school is not possible other suitable locations for an early years setting SCC would encourage are: co-location with community facilities (such as a community centre or health facility), within town centres, or within other well connected mixed use areas (such as the Plan’s vision for the Rettery and Chicken Factory area). These locations tend to be well connected and are services that local people need to access, so a setting in one of these locations would be convenient for users and would help to make the early years setting more viable (as early years provision is delivered by the market).

POLICY SUMMARY

10.5 The following table contains a commentary on how the various policies in the Plan will be delivered. All development will need to contribute as appropriate to school places, medical services, highway improvements, rights of way improvements and drainage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Commentary on Infrastructure and Deliverability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eye 1</td>
<td>The policy on housing allocations will be implemented through the housing proposals in the Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 2</td>
<td>The policy on affordable housing will be implemented through the housing proposals in the Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 3</td>
<td>The development South of Eye Airfield for housing will be implemented through private development and in accord with the Design Brief and the Section 106 Agreement. The Agreement may need to be varied if the County Council decide to build a new school rather than intensify the use of the existing site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 4</td>
<td>This development of land at Eye Health Centre/Hartismere Health and Care for housing will be implemented through a private development. Developer contributions will be required to help achieve the Policies Eye 11, 15 and 28 and to any improvements required to Hartismere Health and care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 5</td>
<td>The District Council intends to bring this site forward for development in partnership with a private developer using Government grant for the affordable housing element. Developer contributions will be required to help achieve Policies Eye 11, 15 and 28.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 6</td>
<td>This site is owned by the Town Council which is developing a scheme to reinvest any capital receipts in improvements to infrastructure, facilities and community activities. The site incorporates FP14 along its eastern boundary, and the development should support safe walking and cycling to local amenities and into the wider public rights of way network by including appropriate surfacing of the full length of the path, along with an upgrade in status to either bridleway or cycle track.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 7</td>
<td>This site is owned by the Town Council which is developing a scheme to reinvest any capital receipts in improvements to infrastructure, facilities and community activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye 8</td>
<td>As and when the reserve housing site comes forward there should be a reassessment of infrastructure requirements. It would be implemented through a private development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Policy Commentary on Infrastructure and Deliverability

Eye 9 The redevelopment of the Chicken Factory will be brought forward through private development. It will assist in bringing forward Policy Eye 28 public rights of way.

Eye 10 The additional car parking would come forward through an agreement between the Town Council and the owners of the Rettery. Funding for the latter would come from Community Infrastructure Levy, any Town Council capital receipts from the sale of land at Victoria Mill, other public funding sources and grants.

Eye 11 Cycle parking should be included within development.

Eye 12 The provision of a Crematorium would be a private development. Developer contributions would be sought for improvements to the Cemetery. FP8 and FP42 would provide pedestrian, and potentially cycle, access to the site, therefore appropriate surface improvements to the paths, along with a possible upgrade in status to either bridleway or cycle track should be considered as part of the improvements and additions to the site.

Eye 13 A new Primary School would be brought forward by Suffolk County Council. Funding would come from developer contributions from the housing sites proposed in this Plan (either as Section 106 funding or from Community Infrastructure Levy), from any grant aid from the Church of England and from other public sources.

Eye 14 The Sports Hall would be brought forward by Hartismere High School as part of a wider package of alterations to provide additional school places. Funding could include Section 106 funding for additional school places, Community Infrastructure Levy and the Schools own funds. Replacement required of any car parking spaces lost.

Eye 15 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 16 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 17 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 18 No funding is needed to implement this policy.
Policy Commentary on Infrastructure and Deliverability

Eye 19 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 20 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 21 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 22 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 23 No funding is needed to implement this policy.

Eye 24 The Town Council will work with Town Centre retailers to seek funding for shop front improvements.

Eye 25 The Town Council will work with Town Centre retailers to seek funding for shop front improvements.

Eye 26 The Town Council will prepare a Parking Plan regarding the management of car parking spaces.

Eye 27 The Town Council will work with Suffolk County Council to implement the necessary procedures to create new Rights of Way on the western side of the Town. Funding for the implementation of the scheme will come from Developer Contributions, Town Council Capital receipts and other public funding.

Eye 28 Funding for the implementation of the scheme will come from Developer Contributions, Town Council Capital receipts and other public funding.

Eye 29 Funding for improvements to Rights of Way will come from developer contributions.

Eye 30 Electric Vehicle Charging will be provided as part of the development of the residential sites proposed in this Plan.

Eye 31 Electric Vehicle Charging on public car parks will be provided by the developer.

Eye 32 Eye Town Council will work with Suffolk County Council to prepare a Traffic Management Plan. Developer contributions will be required towards its implementation.
Policy Commentary on Infrastructure and Deliverability

Eye 33 Policy for the Eye Business Area requires further development and funding requirements will also be considered further.

Eye 34 The Town Infrastructure Plan will be developed to incorporate detailed infrastructure requirements.
SECTION 11
Policy Maps
Policy Plan - key

- Main Routes to the Town Centre
- Land South of Eye Airfield
- Approved Development Scheme: Indicative Plots
- Housing proposals as follow:
  - Land at Eye Health Centre and Hartismere Health and Care
  - Paddock House, Church Street, Eye
  - Land North of Victoria Mill Allotments
  - Victoria Mill Allotments
  - Reserve site South of Eye Airfield
  - Redevelopment of the Chicken Factory - mixed Housing, retail and car parking
- Car Parking at the Rettery
- Crematorium
- Land for primary school, West of Hartismere High School
- Existing Car Parking
- Sports Hall and related uses at Hartismere High School
- Settlement boundary
- Special Landscape Area
- Eye District Centre
- Public Rights of Way west improvements
- Public Rights of Way east improvements
This Glossary is taken from the National Planning Policy Framework and includes a range of commonly used planning terms some of which are used in this Neighbourhood Plan.

**Affordable housing**: housing for sale or rent, for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and/or is for essential local workers); and which complies with one or more of the following definitions:

a. **Affordable housing for rent**: meets all of the following conditions: (a) the rent is set in accordance with the Government’s rent policy for Social Rent or Affordable Rent, or is at least 20% below local market rents (including service charges where applicable); (b) the landlord is a registered provider, except where it is included as part of a Build to Rent scheme (in which case the landlord need not be a registered provider); and (c) it includes provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision. For Build to Rent schemes affordable housing for rent is expected to be the normal form of affordable housing provision (and, in this context, is known as Affordable Private Rent).

b. **Starter homes**: is as specified in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any secondary legislation made under these sections. The definition of a starter home should reflect the meaning set out in statute and any such secondary legislation at the time of plan-preparation or decision-making. Where secondary legislation has the effect of limiting a household’s eligibility to purchase a starter home to those with a particular maximum level of household income, those restrictions should be used.

c. **Discounted market sales housing**: is that sold at a discount of at least 20% below local market value. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Provisions should be in place to ensure housing remains at a discount for future eligible households.

d. **Other affordable routes to home ownership**: is housing provided for sale that provides a route to ownership for those who could not achieve home ownership through the market. It includes shared ownership, relevant equity loans, other low cost homes for sale (at a price equivalent to at least 20% below local market value) and rent to buy (which includes a period of intermediate rent). Where public grant funding is provided, there should be provisions for the homes to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for any receipts to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision, or refunded to Government or the relevant authority specified in the funding agreement.

**Air quality management areas**: Areas designated by local authorities because they are not likely to achieve national air quality objectives by the relevant deadlines.
Ancient or veteran tree: A tree which, because of its age, size and condition, is of exceptional biodiversity, cultural or heritage value. All ancient trees are veteran trees. Not all veteran trees are old enough to be ancient, but are old relative to other trees of the same species. Very few trees of any species reach the ancient life-stage.

Ancient woodland: An area that has been wooded continuously since at least 1600 AD. It includes ancient semi-natural woodland and plantations on ancient woodland sites (PAWS). 65

Annual position statement: A document setting out the 5 year housing land supply position on 1st April each year, prepared by the local planning authority in consultation with developers and others who have an impact on delivery.

Archaeological interest: There will be archaeological interest in a heritage asset if it holds, or potentially holds, evidence of past human activity worthy of expert investigation at some point.

Best and most versatile agricultural land: Land in grades 1, 2 and 3a of the Agricultural Land Classification.

Brownfield land: See previously developed land.

Brownfield land registers: Registers of previously developed land that local planning authorities consider to be appropriate for residential development, having regard to criteria in the Town and Country Planning (Brownfield Land Registers) Regulations 2017. Local planning authorities will be able to trigger a grant of permission in principle for residential development on suitable sites in their registers where they follow the required procedures.

Build to Rent: Purpose built housing that is typically 100% rented out. It can form part of a wider multi-tenure development comprising either flats or houses, but should be on the same site and/or contiguous with the main development. Schemes will usually offer longer tenancy agreements of three years or more, and will typically be professionally managed stock in single ownership and management control.

Climate change adaptation: Adjustments made to natural or human systems in response to the actual or anticipated impacts of climate change, to mitigate harm or exploit beneficial opportunities.

Climate change mitigation: Action to reduce the impact of human activity on the climate system, primarily through reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Coastal change management area: An area identified in plans as likely to be affected by physical change to the shoreline through erosion, coastal landslip, permanent inundation or coastal accretion.

Community forest: An area identified through the England Community Forest Programme to revitalise countryside and green space in and around major conurbations.

Community Right to Build Order: An Order made by the local planning authority (under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) that grants planning permission for a site-specific development proposal or classes of development.

Competent person (to prepare site investigation information): A person with a recognised relevant qualification, sufficient experience in dealing with the type(s) of pollution or land instability, and
membership of a relevant professional organisation.

**Conservation (for heritage policy):** The process of maintaining and managing change to a heritage asset in a way that sustains and, where appropriate, enhances its significance.

**Decentralised energy:** Local renewable and local low-carbon energy sources.

**Deliverable:** To be considered deliverable, sites for housing should be available now, offer a suitable location for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years. Sites that are not major development, and sites with detailed planning permission, should be considered deliverable until permission expires, unless there is clear evidence that homes will not be delivered within five years (e.g. they are no longer viable, there is no longer a demand for the type of units or sites have long term phasing plans). Sites with outline planning permission, permission in principle, allocated in the development plan or identified on a brownfield register should only be considered deliverable where there is clear evidence that housing completions will begin on site within five years.

**Design code:** A set of illustrated design requirements that provide specific, detailed parameters for the physical development of a site or area. The graphic and written components of the code should build upon a design vision, such as a masterplan or other design and development framework for a site or area.

**Designated heritage asset:** A World Heritage Site, Scheduled Monument, Listed Building, Protected Wreck Site, Registered Park and Garden, Registered Battlefield or Conservation Area designated under the relevant legislation.

**Designated rural areas:** National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and areas designated as ‘rural’ under Section 157 of the Housing Act 1985.

**Developable:** To be considered developable, sites should be in a suitable location for housing development with a reasonable prospect that they will be available and could be viably developed at the point envisaged.

**Development plan:** Is defined in section 38 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, and includes adopted local plans, neighbourhood plans that have been made and published spatial development strategies, together with any regional strategy policies that remain in force. Neighbourhood plans that have been approved at referendum are also part of the development plan, unless the local planning authority decides that the neighbourhood plan should not be made.

**Edge of centre:** For retail purposes, a location that is well connected to, and up to 300 metres from, the primary shopping area. For all other main town centre uses, a location within 300 metres of a town centre boundary. For office development, this includes locations outside the town centre but within 500 metres of a public transport interchange. In determining whether a site falls within the definition of edge of centre, account should be taken of local circumstances.

**Entry-level exception site:** A site that provides entry-level homes suitable for first time buyers (or equivalent, for those looking to rent).

**Environmental impact assessment:** A procedure to be followed for certain types of project to ensure that decisions are
made in full knowledge of any likely significant effects on the environment.

**Essential local workers**: Public sector employees who provide frontline services in areas including health, education and community safety – such as NHS staff, teachers, police, firefighters and military personnel, social care and childcare workers.

**General aviation airfields**: Licenced or unlicenced aerodromes with hard or grass runways, often with extensive areas of open land related to aviation activity.

**Geodiversity**: The range of rocks, minerals, fossils, soils and landforms.

**Green infrastructure**: A network of multi-functional green space, urban and rural, which is capable of delivering a wide range of environmental and quality of life benefits for local communities.

**Habitats site**: Any site which would be included within the definition at regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 for the purpose of those regulations, including candidate Special Areas of Conservation, Sites of Community Importance, Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas and any relevant Marine Sites.

**Heritage asset**: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. It includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

**Heritage coast**: Areas of undeveloped coastline which are managed to conserve their natural beauty and, where appropriate, to improve accessibility for visitors.

**Historic environment**: All aspects of the environment resulting from the interaction between people and places through time, including all surviving physical remains of past human activity, whether visible, buried or submerged, and landscaped and planted or managed flora.

**Historic environment record**: Information services that seek to provide access to comprehensive and dynamic resources relating to the historic environment of a defined geographic area for public benefit and use.

**Housing Delivery Test**: Measures net additional dwellings provided in a local authority area against the homes required, using national statistics and local authority data. The Secretary of State will publish the Housing Delivery Test results for each local authority in England every November.

**International, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity**: All international sites (Special Areas of Conservation, Special Protection Areas, and Ramsar sites), national sites (Sites of Special Scientific Interest) and locally designated sites including Local Wildlife Sites.

**Irreplaceable habitat**: Habitats which would be technically very difficult (or take a very significant time) to restore, recreate or replace once destroyed, taking into account their age, uniqueness, species diversity or rarity. They include ancient woodland, ancient and veteran trees, blanket bog, limestone pavement, sand dunes, salt marsh and lowland fen.
Local Development Order: An Order made by a local planning authority (under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) that grants planning permission for a specific development proposal or classes of development.

Local Enterprise Partnership: A body, designated by the Secretary of State for Housing, Communities and Local Government, established for the purpose of creating or improving the conditions for economic growth in an area.

Local housing need: the number of homes identified as being needed through the application of the standard method set out in national planning guidance, or a justified alternative approach.

Local Nature Partnership: A body, designated by the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, established for the purpose of protecting and improving the natural environment in an area and the benefits derived from it.

Local planning authority: The public authority whose duty it is to carry out specific planning functions for a particular area. All references to local planning authority include the district council, London borough council, county council, Broads Authority, National Park Authority, the Mayor of London and a development corporation, to the extent appropriate to their responsibilities.

Local plan: A plan for the future development of a local area, drawn up by the local planning authority in consultation with the community. In law this is described as the development plan documents adopted under the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. A local plan can consist of either strategic or non-strategic policies, or a combination of the two.

Main town centre uses: Retail development (including warehouse clubs and factory outlet centres); leisure, entertainment and more intensive sport and recreation uses (including cinemas, restaurants, drive-through restaurants, bars and pubs, nightclubs, casinos, health and fitness centres, indoor bowling centres and bingo halls); offices; and arts, culture and tourism development (including theatres, museums, galleries and concert halls, hotels and conference facilities).

Major development: For housing, development where 10 or more homes will be provided, or the site has an area of 0.5 hectares or more. For non-residential development it means additional floorspace of 1,000m² or more, or a site of 1 hectare or more, or as otherwise provided in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

Major hazard sites, installations and pipelines: Sites and infrastructure, including licensed explosive sites and nuclear installations, around which Health and Safety Executive (and Office for Nuclear Regulation) consultation distances to mitigate the consequences to public safety of major accidents may apply.

Minerals resources of local and national importance: Minerals which are necessary to meet society’s needs, including aggregates, brickclay (especially Etruria Marl and fireclay), silica sand (including high grade silica sands), cement raw materials, gypsum, salt, fluor spar, shallow and deep-mined coal, oil and gas (including conventional and unconventional hydrocarbons), tungsten, kaolin, ball clay, potash, polyhalite and local minerals of importance to heritage assets and local distinctiveness.
**Mineral Safeguarding Area**: An area designated by minerals planning authorities which covers known deposits of minerals which are desired to be kept safeguarded from unnecessary sterilisation by non-mineral development.

**National trails**: Long distance routes for walking, cycling and horse riding.

**Natural Flood Management**: Managing flood and coastal erosion risk by protecting, restoring and emulating the natural ‘regulating’ function of catchments, rivers, floodplains and coasts.

**Nature Recovery Network**: An expanding, increasingly connected, network of wildlife-rich habitats supporting species recovery, alongside wider benefits such as carbon capture, water quality improvements, natural flood risk management and recreation. It includes the existing network of protected sites and other wildlife rich habitats as well as and landscape or catchment scale recovery areas where there is coordinated action for species and habitats.

**Neighbourhood Development Order**: An Order made by a local planning authority (under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) through which parish councils and neighbourhood forums can grant planning permission for a specific development proposal or classes of development.

**Neighbourhood plan**: A plan prepared by a parish council or neighbourhood forum for a designated neighbourhood area. In law this is described as a neighbourhood development plan in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

**Non-strategic policies**: Policies contained in a neighbourhood plan, or those policies in a local plan that are not strategic policies.

**Older people**: People over or approaching retirement age, including the active, newly-retired through to the very frail elderly; and whose housing needs can encompass accessible, adaptable general needs housing through to the full range of retirement and specialised housing for those with support or care needs.

**Open space**: All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity.

**Original building**: A building as it existed on 1 July 1948 or, if constructed after 1 July 1948, as it was built originally.

**Out of centre**: A location which is not in or on the edge of a centre but not necessarily outside the urban area.

**Out of town**: A location out of centre that is outside the existing urban area.

**Outstanding universal value**: Cultural and/or natural significance which is so exceptional as to transcend national boundaries and to be of common importance for present and future generations. An individual Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is agreed and adopted by the UNESCO World Heritage Committee for each World Heritage Site.

**People with disabilities**: People have a disability if they have a physical or mental impairment, and that impairment has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on their ability to carry out normal day-
to-day activities. These persons include, but are not limited to, people with ambulatory difficulties, blindness, learning difficulties, autism and mental health needs.

**Permission in principle**: A form of planning consent which establishes that a site is suitable for a specified amount of housing-led development in principle. Following a grant of permission in principle, the site must receive a grant of technical details consent before development can proceed.

**Planning condition**: A condition imposed on a grant of planning permission (in accordance with the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) or a condition included in a Local Development Order or Neighbourhood Development Order.

**Planning obligation**: A legal agreement entered into under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to mitigate the impacts of a development proposal.

**Playing field**: The whole of a site which encompasses at least one playing pitch as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.

**Previously developed land**: Land which is or was occupied by a permanent structure, including the curtilage of the developed land (although it should not be assumed that the whole of the curtilage should be developed) and any associated fixed surface infrastructure. This excludes: land that is or was last occupied by agricultural or forestry buildings; land that has been developed for minerals extraction or waste disposal by landfill, where provision for restoration has been made through development management procedures; land in built-up areas such as residential gardens, parks, recreation grounds and allotments; and land that was previously developed but where the remains of the permanent structure or fixed surface structure have blended into the landscape.

**Primary shopping area**: Defined area where retail development is concentrated.

**Priority habitats and species**: Species and Habitats of Principal Importance included in the England Biodiversity List published by the Secretary of State under section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

**Public Rights of Way** are defined as:
- Public Footpath – only for use on foot or with a mobility vehicle
- Public Bridleway – use as per a public footpath, and on horseback or by bicycle
- Restricted Byway – use as per a bridleway, and by a ‘non-motorised vehicle’, e.g. a horse and carriage
- Byway Open to All Traffic (BOAT) – can be used by all vehicles, in addition to people on foot, mobility vehicle, horseback and bicycle.

**Ramsar sites**: Wetlands of international importance, designated under the 1971 Ramsar Convention.

**Renewable and low carbon energy**: Includes energy for heating and cooling as well as generating electricity. Renewable energy covers those energy flows that occur naturally and repeatedly in the environment – from the wind, the fall of water, the movement of the oceans, from the sun and also from biomass and deep geothermal heat. Low carbon technologies are those that can help reduce emissions (compared to conventional use of fossil fuels).

**Rural exception sites**: Small sites used
for affordable housing in perpetuity where sites would not normally be used for housing. Rural exception sites seek to address the needs of the local community by accommodating households who are either current residents or have an existing family or employment connection. A proportion of market homes may be allowed on the site at the local planning authority’s discretion, for example where essential to enable the delivery of affordable units without grant funding.

**Safeguarding zone:** An area defined in Circular 01/03: Safeguarding aerodromes, technical sites and military explosives storage areas, to which specific safeguarding provisions apply.

**Self-build and custom-build housing:** Housing built by an individual, a group of individuals, or persons working with or for them, to be occupied by that individual. Such housing can be either market or affordable housing. A legal definition, for the purpose of applying the Self-build and Custom Housebuilding Act 2015 (as amended), is contained in section 1(A1) and (A2) of that Act.

**Setting of a heritage asset:** The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

**Significance (for heritage policy):** The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. The interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset’s physical presence, but also from its setting. For World Heritage Sites, the cultural value described within each site’s Statement of Outstanding Universal Value forms part of its significance.

**Special Areas of Conservation:** Areas defined by regulation 3 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 which have been given special protection as important conservation sites.

**Special Protection Areas:** Areas classified under regulation 15 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 which have been identified as being of international importance for the breeding, feeding, wintering or the migration of rare and vulnerable species of birds.

**Site investigation information:** Includes a risk assessment of land potentially affected by contamination, or ground stability and slope stability reports, as appropriate. All investigations of land potentially affected by contamination should be carried out in accordance with established procedures (such as BS10175 Investigation of Potentially Contaminated Sites – Code of Practice).

**Site of Special Scientific Interest:** Sites designated by Natural England under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981

**Spatial development strategy:** A plan containing strategic policies prepared by a Mayor or a combined authority. It includes the London Plan (prepared under provisions in the Greater London Authority Act 1999) and plans prepared by combined authorities that have been given equivalent plan-making functions by an order made under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and
Construction Act 2009 (as amended).

Stepping stones: Pockets of habitat that, while not necessarily connected, facilitate the movement of species across otherwise inhospitable landscapes.

Strategic environmental assessment: A procedure (set out in the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004) which requires the formal environmental assessment of certain plans and programmes which are likely to have significant effects on the environment.

Strategic policies: Policies and site allocations which address strategic priorities in line with the requirements of Section 19 (1B-E) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Strategic policy-making authorities: Those authorities responsible for producing strategic policies (local planning authorities, and elected Mayors or combined authorities, where this power has been conferred). This definition applies whether the authority is in the process of producing strategic policies or not.

Supplementary planning documents: Documents which add further detail to the policies in the development plan. They can be used to provide further guidance for development on specific sites, or on particular issues, such as design. Supplementary planning documents are capable of being a material consideration in planning decisions but are not part of the development plan.

Sustainable transport modes: Any efficient, safe and accessible means of transport with overall low impact on the environment, including walking and cycling, low and ultra low emission vehicles, car sharing and public transport.

Town centre: Area defined on the local authority’s policies map, including the primary shopping area and areas predominantly occupied by main town centre uses within or adjacent to the primary shopping area. References to town centres or centres apply to city centres, town centres, district centres and local centres but exclude small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance. Unless they are identified as centres in the development plan, existing out-of-centre developments, comprising or including main town centre uses, do not constitute town centres.

Transport assessment: A comprehensive and systematic process that sets out transport issues relating to a proposed development. It identifies measures required to improve accessibility and safety for all modes of travel, particularly for alternatives to the car such as walking, cycling and public transport, and measures that will be needed deal with the anticipated transport impacts of the development.

Transport statement: A simplified version of a transport assessment where it is agreed the transport issues arising from development proposals are limited and a full transport assessment is not required.

Travel plan: A long-term management strategy for an organisation or site that seeks to deliver sustainable transport objectives and is regularly reviewed.

Wildlife corridor: Areas of habitat connecting wildlife populations.

Windfall sites: Sites not specifically identified in the development plan.
Com m unity Infrastructure Levy or CIL:
A charge per square metre on the net additional floor area constructed on a site. CIL charges can be differentiated in a number of different ways, with the most common way being by type of development, e.g. residential, retail, commercial, etc. CIL pays for the additional cost burden to the Council and the community of the building, such as health, education, roads, library, open spaces, waste and other services. Parishes with a Neighbourhood Plan can keep 25% of CIL to spend as they choose on addressing the needs arising from growth.

Functional Cluster: A group of villages and settlements that work together, e.g. for schooling, shopping, activity or health interests.

Infrastructure: A broad term to describe the things which make places acceptable for people to live in. Services to land include road and utilities such as power and water; services to people include schools, GP surgeries, community facilities etc.

Local Plan - adopted: Until is it superseded by the Babergh & Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan, the adopted local plan comprises the saved policies of the Mid Suffolk District Local Plan [1998], the First Alteration to the Mid Suffolk Local Plan [2006], the Mid Suffolk District Core Strategy Development Plan Document [2008], and the Mid Suffolk District Core Strategy Focused Review [2012].

Local Plan - emerging: Babergh & Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan, the emerging planning document that provides the strategic framework that guides and informs the Neighbourhood Plan. The Neighbourhood Plan must be in general conformity with its strategic policies.

NPPF: National Planning Policy Framework, a document that sets out national planning policy. All neighbourhood plans must have due regard to it.

Sheltered housing: Sheltered housing (also known as retirement housing) means having your own flat or bungalow in a block, or on a small estate, where all the other residents are older people (usually over 55). With a few exceptions, all developments (or ‘schemes’) provide independent, self-contained homes with their own front doors. There are many different types of scheme, both to rent and to buy. They usually contain between 15 and 40 properties, and range in size from studio flats (or ‘bedsits’) through to 2 and 3 bedroomed.

SD: Supporting Document – which provides evidence that underpins the policies in the Plan.